

Prioritizing Factors Affecting Students' Satisfaction with Educational Quality in Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences (2011-2012)

Kiomars sharafi¹, Yahya Safari^{2*}, Mansour Ziaei³

¹ Department of Environmental health, Faculty of health, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, IRAN

² Faculty of Paramedical Sciences, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, IRAN

³ Department of Occupational Health, Faculty of Health, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, IRAN

*Faculty of Health, Dolat Abad, Isar Square, Kermanshah, IRAN
Postal code:

Tel: +98 918 132 4798

Fax:

Email: ysafari@

rose.shirazu.ac.ir

Received: Apr 20, 2013

Accepted: Apr 21, 2014

Background: Determining satisfaction level helps the development and improvement of universities. This study was designed to investigate satisfaction level and prioritize factors affecting students' satisfaction with educational quality in Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences.

Methods: This is a descriptive-analytical study. The data gathering tool was a researcher made questionnaire consisted of 54 questions covering different fields regarding students' satisfaction. Subjects were 400 intern students of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences. Sampling was performed by stratified random method. Significance level was 0.05.

Results: Students' satisfaction with classroom physical conditions and educational aids was moderate to good (3.11 ± 1.71), while laboratory and practice rooms was moderate to low (2.91 ± 1.07). Also, satisfaction with the educational services was moderate (3.02 ± 1.11), with field training and internship, was moderate to low (2.94 ± 1.21), and with teaching methods it was moderate to low (3.12 ± 1.11). According to the results of this study, the first priority is library resources and internet access. Results showed that there is a significant difference in most criteria ($p < 0.05$), but no significant difference was observed in satisfaction level with internship among different degrees. Also, there was no significant difference between females and males regarding satisfaction level with classroom physical conditions, internship and library resources. Also, results showed that there is a significant difference in satisfaction level between students of different faculties ($p = 0.001$) and different degrees ($p = 0.001$).

Conclusion: To improve students' satisfaction level, more emphasis must be put on library resources and internet, laboratory and practice rooms, field training and internship, educational services, classroom physical conditions and educational aids, and teaching methods, respectively.

Keywords: Students' satisfaction, educational quality, educational status, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences

اولویت بندی فاکتورهای مؤثر بر رضایتمندی دانشجویان از وضعیت آموزشی در یک دانشگاه علوم پزشکی تیب ۲ کشور ایران

زمینه و هدف: تعیین میزان رضایت دانشجویان و نقد سیستم آموزشی بر مبنای نظر فراگیر، در برنامه ریزی دانشگاهها برای توسعه و بهبود وضعیت آموزشی به ویژه در دانشگاههای تیب دوی کشور اهمیت دارد. هدف این مطالعه تعیین میزان رضایتمندی تحصیلی و اولویت بندی فاکتورهای مؤثر بر رضایت دانشجویان از وضعیت آموزشی در یکی از دانشگاه علوم پزشکی تیب دوی کشور ایران بود.

روش: مطالعه به روش توصیفی-تحلیلی در سال تحصیلی ۹۱-۱۳۹۰ انجام شد. برای جمع آوری داده ها از پرسشنامه محقق ساخته شامل ۵۴ سؤال در حیطه های مختلف مرتبط با رضایت تحصیلی دانشجویان استفاده شد. حجم نمونه شامل ۴۰۰ نفر از دانشجویان دوره کارورزی دانشگاه علوم پزشکی کرمانشاه بود. نمونه گیری به روش تصادفی طبقه ای انجام شد. سطح معناداری ۰۰۵ در نظر گرفته شد.

یافته ها: نتایج تحقیق نشان داد که میزان رضایت تحصیلی دانشجویان از وضعیت فیزیکی کلاس های درس و وسایل آموزشی، بین سطح متوسط تا خوب (3.11 ± 1.71)، میزان رضایت از وضعیت آزمایشگاه و اتاق های پراتیک در سطح متوسط به پایین (2.94 ± 1.21)، میزان رضایت از عملکرد خدمات واحد آموزش دانشکده در سطح متوسط (3.02 ± 1.11)، میزان رضایت از وضعیت کارآموزی در عرصه و کارورزی در سطح متوسط به پایین (2.91 ± 1.07)، و میزان رضایت از منابع کتابخانه ای و اینترنتی در سطح متوسط به پایین (2.94 ± 1.21)، و میزان رضایت تحصیلی دانشجویان از روش تدریس اساتید در سطح متوسط به پایین (3.12 ± 1.11) قرار داشت. لذا بر اساس نتایج حاصله، اولویت اول توجه به منابع کتابخانه ای و اینترنتی می باشد. همچنین یافته ها نشان داد که بین میزان رضایتمندی دانشجویان دانشکده های مختلف در همه مولفه ها ($p = 0.001$)، مقاطع تحصیلی مختلف به جزء مولفه وضعیت کارآموزی و کارورزی ($p = 0.001$) و جنسیت دانشجویان در مولفه های وضعیت فیزیکی کلاس ها، کارآموزی و منابع کتابخانه ای تفاوت معنی دارد وجود داشت ($p < 0.01$)

نتیجه گیری: برای ارتقاء سطح رضایت تحصیلی دانشجویان، می بایست توجه بیشتری به ترتیب به منابع کتابخانه ای و اینترنتی، وضعیت آزمایشگاه و اتاق های پراتیک، وضعیت کارآموزی در عرصه و کارورزی، عملکرد خدمات واحد آموزش دانشکده ها، وضعیت فیزیکی کلاس های درس و وسایل کمک آموزشی و روش تدریس اساتید معطوف گردد.

واژه های کلیدی: رضایتمندی تحصیلی، کیفیت آموزشی، وضعیت تحصیلی، دانشگاه علوم پزشکی کرمانشاه

دراسة العوامل المؤثرة على مستوى الرضا الطلاب من الموضوع التعليمي في جامعه طبيه درجه ثانيه في ايران

التعمير و الهدف: إن تعيين مستوى الرضا ونقد المجموعه التعليميه على اساس الرؤية الشامله، لربما اثر كبير في البرجه و الوظيفه التعليميه خصوصاً في الجامعات الدرجه الثانيه في البلاد. إن البرف من هذه الدراره تعيين مستوى الرضا التعليمي و تعيين العوامل المؤثره على مستوى الرضا التعليمي عند الطلاب في احدى الجامعات الدرجه الثانيه في ايران.

الطوب: تمت الدراره عبر ابلوب التوضيبي-التحليلي في عام ۹۱-۱۳۹۰ هـ. ش. تم جمع المعلومات عبر استمارات مؤثره تشمل على ۵۴ سؤال في المجالات المختلفه المرتبطه بمستوى الرضا التعليمي عند الطلاب. كان عددالمشاركين ۴۰۰ طالب من طلاب مرحله الانترنتي في جامعه کرمانشاه للعلوم الطبيه. أخذ العينات كان على سبيل الصدفة و تم تعيين المستوى ۰۰۵.

النتائج: اشارت نتائج الدراره الى أن مستوى الرضا عند الطلاب من درس الفيزيا و الوسائل التعليميه كان بمستوى المتوسط الى الحسن (3.11 ± 1.71). مستوى الرضا من المختبر كان بين المتوسط و المنخفض (2.94 ± 1.21). مستوى الرضا من العمل في ادارة التعليم في الكلية كان متوسط (3.02 ± 1.11). مستوى الرضا من البرامج التعليميه في المناهج كان في حد المتوسط الى متدني (2.94 ± 1.21). مستوى الرضا من المصادر الموجوده في المكتبه العامه و خبره الانترنت كان متوسط نحو المنخفض (2.91 ± 1.07) وكان مستوى الرضا الطلاب من ابلوب التعليمي عند الاساتذه كان متوسط الى قليل (3.12 ± 1.11). لذا نظراً الى نتائج هذه الدراره، الاولويه الاولى تنجه نحو المكتبه و الانترنت. و ايضا تشير النتائج الى ان مستوى الرضا في جميع امور الكليات ($p = 0.001$). المقاطع المختلفه بغير الانترنت و الاستاجر ($p = 0.001$) و من جهره معيار الذكوره و السنونه بين الطلاب و عنصرالخدمات الدراريه و مصادر المكتبه العامه كان هناك فرق واضح ($p < 0.01$).

الاستنتاج: لاجل رفع مستوى الرضا التعليمي يجب التوجه حسب الترتيب الى مصادرالمكتبه و الانترنت، المختبرات، التعليم في المناهج، تحسين قسم الخدمات التعليميه في الكليات، تحسين وضع الخدمات العامه (القاعات و ...)، **الكلمات الرئيسية:** مستوى الرضا التعليمي، كفيته التعليم الوضعيه التحصيليه، جامعه کرمانشاه للعلوم الطبيه.

کرمان میڈیکل یونیورسٹی میں تعلیمی صورتحال سے طلباء کی رضایت مندی پر مؤثر ہونے والے عوامل کی ترجیحی درجہ بندی

ہیک گراؤڈ: طلباء کی رضایت مندی اور تعلیمی سسٹم کا تنقیدی جائزہ لینا یونیورسٹیوں کی توسیع اور تعلیمی سسٹم کی بہتری میں اہمیت کا حامل ہے۔ اس تحقیق کا هدف طلباء کی رضایت اور اس پر اثر انداز ہونے والے عوامل کا جائزہ لینا ہے۔ یہ تحقیق کرمانشاه یونیورسٹی آف میڈیکل سائنس میں انجام دی گئی۔

روش: یہ تجزیاتی تحقیق دوہزار گیارہ میں انجام دی گئی۔ طلباء کو سوالنامے دیے گئے تھے جن میں چون سوال تھے، اس تحقیق میں چار سو طلباء شریک تھے جو کرمانشاه میڈیکل یونیورسٹی میں انٹرن شپ میں تھے۔

نتیجے: اس تحقیق سے معلوم ہوتا ہے کہ کلاس اور تعلیمی وسائل و ذرائع کے تعلق سے طلباء کی رضایت اوسط اور اچھی سطح کی تھی۔ لیبارٹریز اور پریکٹیکل تعلیم کے کی صورتحال سے رضایت متوسط درجہ کی تھی اور فیکلٹی کی کارکردگی سے رضایت بھی متوسط درجہ کی تھی۔ کتب خانے اور انٹرنیٹ سروس نیز تدریس کے بارے میں طلباء کی رضایت متوسط سے نچلے درجہ کی تھی۔

سفرات: طلباء کو مطمئن کرنے کے لئے کتب خانے اور انٹرنیٹ پر مزید توجہ کرنی چاہیے نیز تدریس اور پریکٹیکل تعلیم، دفتری اسٹاف کی کارکردگی اور کلاسوں اور تدریس کے وسائل پر توجہ کرنی کی ضرورت ہے۔

کلیدی الفاظ: رضایت، تعلیم، کرمانشاه میڈیکل یونیورسٹی۔

INTRODUCTION

Customer-focused ideas have been introduced to different fields of health, treatment and education for two decades. Today, customer's idea provides a basis to evaluate processes and a method to enable people involved in service providing and decision making (1). In knowledge-based organizations, knowing the method of service providing is one of the most fundamental mechanisms of management and is of great importance. Customer satisfaction makes these organizations dynamic and promotes organizational goals (2). This fact is considered in the customer-oriented approach; the key element is to focus on the customer and quality (3). According to this approach, fulfilling customers' needs is considered as the final result of goods and services, and it has seven criteria which "customer satisfaction" is the most important one (4). Universities of medical sciences have a great mission to train efficient professionals to fulfil health-care needs of the society. In this regard, the student plays an important role. Investigating students' opinions is one of the most important and necessary elements to evaluate the quality of educational services in the universities and the results can be useful to improve their efficiency (5). Students will form the key parts of organizations in the future. Therefore, students' satisfaction affects their opinion about their field of study to encourage and improve quality of education (6). Nehring believes that students, as recipients of professional services, are the best sources to identify educational behavior of their teachers. Identifying educational issues of the students and taking measures to solve them helps reaching educational goals and training skilled people to provide high-quality health care services (7). Studies show that the more educational aids are available to learners, the better they will learn compared to those in unsuitable environments (8). In this regard, study of Hassanzadeh et al. showed that most students believe that clinical education is significantly effective before entering hospital departments (9). Contradictory studies have been made about students' satisfaction with educational aids. A study by Pejhan et al. regarding students' satisfaction with educational services of Sabzevar University of Medical Sciences in 2008 showed that the satisfaction level was moderate (10). In a study conducted in 2006 about students' satisfaction in Liverpool University, students believed that the most emphasis is put on the education and the least is put on educational aids, and satisfaction level in more important sections was lower than less important ones (11). In a study regarding students' satisfaction with services of Saddleback University in 2003, Hasoon concluded that students' satisfaction level with educational services is 70% and with the university's educational aids is 66% (12). Izadi et al. showed that only 40% of students were satisfied with the educational services (13). In another research by Mohammadian and Khanbazadeh regarding students' satisfaction level with different departments showed that education departments were scored moderate by students (14). In his study about satisfaction of students and teachers in graduate education with educational services, Siadat concluded that graduate

students are unsatisfied with educational services in four fields of administrative, education, quality of accountability, and supervision and guidance and they believe that the educational administration's efficiency was less than moderate. Determining satisfaction level in each field helps solving issues (16) and having descriptive information about students' opinions is essential in order to make constructive changes, and using this information we can provide students with satisfaction (14,17). Thus, the purpose of current study was to investigate students' satisfaction level with educational services in Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, which leads to improvement of educational services and efficiency and increase in students' satisfaction level and university's national rank. In this study, students' satisfaction level was investigated in six fields of classroom physical conditions and educational aids, laboratory and practice rooms, educational administration, field training and internship, library and online resources, and teaching methods.

METHODS

This is a descriptive-analytical study. As used in similar studies, the data gathering tool was a researcher made questionnaire consisted of 54 questions (demographic features and questions regarding satisfaction with educational services).

This questionnaire was based on Likert-style with a five-point scale (excellent=5, very weak=1). Average satisfaction of 1 to 2 was defined as very low to low, 2 to 3 as low to moderate, 3 to 4 as moderate to high, and 4 to 5 as high to very high.

The questions address six main criteria regarding educational satisfaction, including classroom physical condition and educational aids, laboratory and practice rooms, educational administration, field training and internship, library and online resources, and teaching methods. To estimate validity of this questionnaire, comments of 10 researcher faculty members were used and Cronbach's alpha test was used to determine reliability, which was valid ($\alpha=0.82$). After determining sample size, more experienced students were preferred to be participated, therefore all students involved in this study were interns. The population includes 3200 students of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences. Stratified random method was used for sampling. Sample size was determined using Krejcie and Morgan Table and considering variables, the estimation was 400 people; 312 from 400 questionnaires were given back by students. For data analysis and to determine descriptive parameters, SPSS-Ver.16 was used. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to determine normal or non-normal state of the data. Since the data were non-normal ($P<0.05$), to compare satisfaction level in six mentioned criteria in males and females, Mann-Whitney U Test, and between different fields and faculties, Kruskal-Wallis Test was used ($\alpha=0.05$).

RESULTS

Students' satisfaction level with classroom physical conditions and educational aids was moderate to good (3.11 ± 1.7); 13.1% of all students had very poor, 15.7%

poor, 26.9% moderate, 35.3% good, and 9% excellent satisfaction. Satisfaction level with laboratory and practice rooms was moderate to low (2.91 ± 1.07); 13.1% of all students had very poor, 19.4% poor, 35.7% moderate, 27.3% good and 4.5% excellent satisfaction. Satisfaction level with educational administration was moderate (3.02 ± 1.11); 12.8% of all students had very poor, 16.2% poor, 33.5% moderate, 31.4% good and 6.1% excellent satisfaction. Satisfaction level with field training and internship was moderate to low (2.94 ± 1.21); 15.7% of all students had very poor, 14.4% poor, 27.9% moderate, 32.1% good, and 9.9% excellent satisfaction. Satisfaction level with library and online resources was moderate to low (2.49 ± 1.18); 26.4% of all students had very poor, 23.8% poor, 28.8% moderate, 16.1% good and 4.9% excellent satisfaction. Satisfaction level with teaching method was moderate to low (3.12 ± 1.11); 10.9% of all students had very poor, 15.3% poor, 34% moderate, 30.7% good, and 9.1% excellent satisfaction.

Descriptive and analytical parameters regarding satisfaction level of students of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences are mentioned in tables 1 and 2.

DISCUSSION

Results showed that students' satisfaction level with classroom physical conditions and educational aids was moderate to good (3.11 ± 1.7). Although satisfaction level is rather appropriate, since classroom physical conditions and

educational aids are important factors affecting the learning process, it is essential to put more effort into their improvement. It is obvious enough that the more educational aids are available to learners, the better they will learn compared to those in unsuitable environments (8). Hassanzadeh et al. showed that most students believe that clinical education is significantly effective before entering hospital departments (9). This satisfaction level showed significant difference between females and males, faculties, fields and degrees ($P < 0.001$). This difference seems to be due to each group's different expectations. The reason of significant difference between females and males can be the different tastes and opinions about classroom physical conditions and educational aids. Results of this study are in accordance with others. Pejhan et al. showed that in most fields, students' satisfaction level is rather moderate (10). Also, a study by Douglas et al. showed that students believed that the most emphasis is put on the education and the least is on educational facilities and aids and satisfaction level in more important parts was lower than less important ones (11). Hasoon showed that satisfaction level with educational services is 70%, with facilities is 66% and with environment safety is 70% (12).

Results of current study showed that satisfaction level with the laboratory and practice rooms is moderate to low 2.91 ± 1.07 . Since it is essential for a university with a high research level to have an equipped laboratory, it is necessary

Table 1. Descriptive and analytical parameters regarding satisfaction level of students of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences

Variable	N (%)	Classroom environment and educational facilities		Laboratory and practice rooms environment		Educational administration services		
		Mean (SD)	P Value	Mean (SD)	P Value	Mean (SD)	P Value	
Gender	Male	100 (32.1)	3.05 (1.21)	< 0.001	2.92 (1.14)	> 0.05	3.04 (1.13)	> 0.05
	Female	212 (67.9)	3.17 (1.12)		2.98 (1.01)		2.99 (1.08)	
Curriculum level	Undergraduate	18 (5.8)	3.50 (0.899)	< 0.001	2.53 (1.11)	< 0.001	2.98 (1.18)	< 0.001
	Bachelor	171 (54.8)	3.12 (1.14)		2.79 (1.06)		2.90 (1.01)	
	M.Sc.	22 (7.1)	3.14 (1.18)		3.19 (0.92)		3.07 (1.06)	
	Doctorate	101 (32.4)	3.03 (1.25)		3.11 (1.07)		3.22 (1.1)	
Faculty	Health	63 (20.2)	3.27 (0.996)	< 0.001	2.74 (0.972)	< 0.001	2.71 (1.09)	< 0.001
	Nursing and Midwifery	34 (10.9)	2.29 (1.1)		2.70 (1.11)		2.62 (1.05)	
	Paramedics	97 (24.7)	3.30 (1.16)		2.80 (1.09)		3.15 (1.01)	
	Pharmacy	50 (16)	3 (1.18)		3.11 (1.06)		3.23 (1.08)	
	Medicine	58 (18.6)	2.97 (1.08)		3.13 (1.05)		3 (1.08)	
	Dentistry	30 (9.6)	3.47 (1.28)		3.21 (1.09)		3.34 (1.08)	
Total	312 (100)	3.11 (1.17)	-	2.91 (1.09)	-	3.02 (1.11)		

Table 1 continued. Descriptive and analytical parameters regarding satisfaction level of students of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences

Variable	N (%)	Field training and internship		Laboratory and online resources		Teaching method		
		Mean (SD)	P Value	Mean (SD)	P Value	Mean (SD)	P Value	
Gender	Male	100 (32.1)	3.12 (1.2)	> 0.05	2.47 (1.25)	> 0.05	3.18 (1.18)	0.006
	Female	212 (67.9)	3 (1.23)		2.51 (1.1)		3.05 (1.04)	
Curriculum level	Undergraduate	18 (5.8)	2.83 (1.34)	> 0.05	2.46 (1.27)	< 0.001	3.49 (1.42)	< 0.001
	Bachelor	171 (54.8)	2.94 (1.23)		2.46 (1.12)		3.02 (1.08)	
	M.Sc.	22 (7.1)	2.80 (1.23)		2.03 (1.01)		3.05 (1.05)	
	Doctorate	101 (32.4)	2.99 (1.15)		2.66 (1.26)		3.24 (1.1)	
Faculty	Health	63 (20.2)	2.75 (1.2)	< 0.001	2.25 (1.009)	< 0.001	2.90 (1.01)	< 0.001
	Nursing and Midwifery	34 (10.9)	2.29 (1.08)		2.36 (1.155)		2.93 (1.11)	
	Paramedics	97 (24.7)	3.33 (1.2)		2.59 (1.2)		3.21 (1.16)	
	Pharmacy	50 (16)	2.87 (1.16)		2.56 (1.21)		3.28 (1.06)	
	Medicine	58 (18.6)	3.04 (1.05)		2.43 (1.1)		3.09 (1.06)	
	Dentistry	30 (9.6)	2.78 (1.31)		2.82 (1.37)		3.24 (1.19)	
Total	312 (100)	100	-	2.49 (1.18)	-	3.12 (1.11)	-	

to take proper measures in this regard. This satisfaction level showed difference between faculties, fields and degrees ($P < 0.05$). But this difference was not significant between females and males ($P > 0.05$). Since each field needs different level of laboratory equipment, it is possible that in some certain fields and faculties, students may have needed more equipment. This explains different satisfaction levels between faculties, fields and degrees. The fact that people in a similar age group have similar educational needs regarding laboratory and practice rooms can explain why the difference between females and males was not significant.

Results of research showed that students' satisfaction level with the educational administration was moderate (3.02 ± 1.11). Thus, it is necessary to improve this level by shifting class times, non-held classes, make-up classes and internships. This satisfaction level showed significant difference between different faculties, fields and degrees ($P < 0.05$) but no significant difference was observed between females and males ($P > 0.05$). This can be due to different expectations of different fields and degrees from educational administration's services. These results are in accordance with previous studies. Izadi et al. showed that only 40% of students were satisfied with the educational administration's services (13). Pejhan et al. reported that satisfaction level with the educational administration's services was low (10). Mohammadian and Khanbabazadeh showed that educational administration scored moderate regarding students' satisfaction (14). Siadat resulted that the

educational administration did not perform well even at the moderate level (15).

Results of the research showed that students' satisfaction level with field training and internship was moderate to low (2.94 ± 1.21). Considering the importance of internship in completing students' education, it is essential to improve this satisfaction level. This level showed significant difference between faculties and fields ($P < 0.05$), but no significant difference was observed between females and males, and between different degrees ($P > 0.05$). Rostaminejad showed that there is no significant difference between satisfaction level of female and male students (18). Results of the research showed that students' satisfaction level with library and online resources was moderate to low (2.49 ± 1.18). This satisfaction level showed significant difference between different faculties, fields and degrees ($P < 0.05$), but no significant difference was observed between females and males ($P > 0.05$). According to the results of this research, most satisfaction with library and online resources was in doctorate degree, whereas in Mahdzadeh's research, undergraduate students were most satisfied (19). International standards of medical education indicate that in order to improve medical education, educational aids and resources as library, lecture hall, classroom, laboratory and computer center are essential (20). A study in Isfahan University of Technology showed that 60% of students are satisfied with the computer services in central library at the level of moderate to high (21).

Results of the research showed that students' satisfaction level

Table 2. Students' satisfaction level in different fields of study

Field of Study	N (%)	Classroom physical conditions and educational aids Mean (SD)	Laboratory and practice rooms Mean (SD)	Educational administration Mean (SD)	Field training and internship Mean (SD)	Library and online resources Mean (SD)	Teaching method Mean (SD)
Environmental Health	32 (10.3)	3.31(1.01)	3.15(0.82)	3.05(1.03)	3.11(1.08)	2.35(1.03)	3.13(0.89)
Professional Health	22 (7.1)	3.32(0.87)	2.14(0.83)	2.33(1.03)	2.43(1.12)	1.92(0.938)	2.62(1.08)
General health	10 (3.2)	3(1.10)	2.81(1.01)	2.57(1.12)	2.45(1.46)	2.63(0.86)	2.89(1.05)
Medicine	27 (8.7)	2.63(1.02)	3.08(1.05)	3.07(1.05)	3.26(0.89)	2.53(1.13)	3.12(0.99)
Pharmacy	50 (16.0)	3(1.18)	3.11(1.06)	3.23(1.07)	2.87(1.16)	2.56(1.21)	3.28(1.06)
Dentistry	30 (9.6)	3.47(1.28)	3.21(1.09)	3.34(1.07)	2.78(1.31)	2.82(1.37)	3.24(1.19)
Laboratory Sciences	19 (6.1)	3(1.81)	2.95(0.94)	3.15(1.13)	3.37(1.07)	2.59(1.24)	3.21(1.05)
Anesthesiology	18 (5.8)	3.72(0.10)	3.24(1.06)	3.44(0.76)	3.42(0.10)	3.15(1.11)	3.46(1.00)
Operation Room	18 (5.8)	3(1.002)	2.82(1.19)	3.12(1.03)	3.53(1.2)	2.6(1.12)	3.07(0.10)
Nursing	15 (4.8)	2.33(0.94)	2.57(1.14)	2.69(1.11)	2.40(1.03)	2.17(1.28)	2.68(1.26)
Medical Emergencies	18 (5.8)	3.5(0.90)	2.53(1.11)	2.98(1.18)	2.83(1.34)	2.46(1.27)	3.49(1.42)
Radiology	8 (2.6)	3(1.12)	2.88(0.66)	3.48(0.82)	3.56(1.09)	2.5(1.07)	3.13(0.95)
Biochemistry	6 (1.9)	4(0.581)	3.33(0.99)	3.11(1.21)	2.58(1.44)	2.42(1.02)	2.95(1.34)
Microbiology	3 (1.0)	3.33(0.95)	3.33(1.12)	2.22(1.00)	2.33(0.82)	2.11(0.83)	3.29(1.18)
Midwifery	18 (5.8)	2.33(1.2)	2.81(1.09)	2.56(1.00)	2.17(1.13)	2.53(1.02)	3.16(0.96)
Nuclear Medicine	16 (5.1)	3.31(1.35)	2.34(1.17)	2.82(1.31)	3.34(1.35)	2.14(1.13)	2.77(1.29)
Psychology	2 (0.6)	4(0)	2.95(1.10)	2.83(0.72)	2.50(1.29)	1.50(0.67)	2.71(0.91)
Total	312 (100)	3.11(1.17)	2.91(1.08)	3.02(1.11)	2.94(1.21)	2.49(1.18)	3.12(1.11)
P Value		<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001

with teaching method was moderate to low (3.12 ± 1.11). This satisfaction level showed significant difference between different faculties, fields of study, degrees and between females and males ($P < 0.05$). Results of the study by Jalilian et al. in Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences are in accordance with the current study (22).

One of the challenges of this study was the unavailability of a standard questionnaire. Also, the population was limited to a single university. To overcome these issues, researchers used a validated questionnaire. To increase generalizability of the results, subject size was increased and students' opinions of all fields and degrees were used.

Conclusion: According to results of the current study, in order to improve students' satisfaction level, more attention

should be paid to library and online resources, laboratory and practice rooms, field training and internship, efficiency of educational administration, classroom physical conditions and educational aids, and teaching method, respectively.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We highly appreciate different faculties of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences involved in this study. Moreover, we appreciate the research deputy for sponsorship.

Conflict of Interest: Authors declared no conflict of interest.
Funding and support: This article is confirmed and sponsored by the research deputy of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences (code: 90278).

REFERENCES

1. Hemmati F, Kakooye H, Agha bakhshi H, Biglarian A. Measure satisfaction of service recipients of rehabilitation center daily in Tehran with customer-oriented approach. *Journal of rehabilitation* 2000; 6(7): 14-21. (Persian).
2. Shafia MA. Customer satisfaction efficiency complementary activities. Singapore National Productivity Organization (The author). Tehran: Iranian Productivity Organization; 2000: 17. (Persian).
3. Beth KKR. Beyond customer satisfaction, customer loyalty towards. Mahdavi SS. (translator). 1st ed. Tehran: Management and Planning Organization; 2003: 45. (Persian).
4. Hayes B. Measuring of consumer satisfaction. Jazni N. (translator). Tehran: Industrial Management Institute; 2003: 17. (Persian).
5. Amannat D, Momeni DSH. Assessment of student learning and satisfaction in view of Dental School Shiraz University of Medical Sciences. *JOURNAL NAME?* 2008; 10(4): 356-60.
6. Haidari AA, Khalaj A, Jaafarian N. Attitude's evaluation of medical students on factors associated with academic study (2000). *Journal of Hamadan University of Medical Sciences* 2002; 7: 31. (Persian).
7. Nehring V. Nursing clinical effectiveness investigation. *Journal of ACL advanced nursing* 1990; 15: 934-40.
8. Shabani H. Skills education and training, methods and techniques taught in Tehran. Tehran: Semat; 1992: 19. (Persian).
9. Hassanzadeh Salmasi S, Amini A, Shaghghi A. Medical student satisfaction survey results clinical skills parts of Tabriz. *Journal of medical education* 2001; 7: 46. (Persian).
10. Pezhhan A, Sabaghzadeh M, Yaghoobi MA. Students' satisfaction of medical services and educational facilities Sabzevar in 2007. *Journal of Sabzevar University of Medical Sciences* 2007; 17(2): 131-7. (Persian).
11. Douglas J, Douglas A, Barnes B. Measuring student satisfaction at a UK university. *Journal of quality assurance in education* 2006; 14(3): 251-67.
12. Hasson C. Student satisfaction survey. Office of Research, Planning and Grants Saddleback College. Available from: URL; https://www.saddleback.edu/gov/senate/PDF/student_satisfaction_survey_2003.pdf
13. Izadi S, Salehi A, Gharabaghi MM. Mazandaran University of customer satisfaction evaluation criteria of EFQM model. *Journal of higher education association* 2007; 3: 1-34. (Persian).
14. Mohamadian A, Khanbabazadeh M. The survey of students' satisfaction of performance of different part of Ardabil University of Medical Sciences. *Journal of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences* 2008; 9(1): 55-61. (Persian).
15. Siadat A, Shams B, Homai R, Gharibi L. Students and Instructors post graduate satisfaction of the performance of management education of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences. *Journal of medical education* 2004; 5: 93-100. (Persian).
16. Sandow PL, Jones AC, Peek CW, Courts FJ, Watson RE. Correlation of admission criteria with dental school performance and attrition. *J Dent Educ* 2002; 66: 388-92.
17. Mansoorian MR. Total Quality Management (TQM) training services and medical students of Gonabad and it's adapting to students' satisfaction. *Ofoq-e-Danesh* 2003; 9: 55-61. (In Persian).
18. Rostaminejad A, Karimi Z. Evaluation of field training for final semester students' perspective fields of anesthesia and operating room. *Journal of medical education* 2003; 7: 8-11. (In Persian).
19. Mehdizadeh Ghalehjoogh L. Student satisfaction survey of public library services in Tabriz. *Journal of book* 2003; 15(1): 123-35. (Persian).
20. Niaie AM. Translation: International standards in basic medical education WFME and accreditation standards LCME(in America). Ministry of Health and Medical Education, Monitoring and Evaluation and Development Council State Universities of Medical Sciences; 1999.
21. Shahsavari V. The survey of the knowledge and consent of graduate students of use central Library Computer Services in Isfahan University Industrial. MS. Dissertation. Isfahan: Isfahan University, 2000: 83. (Persian).
22. Jalilian N, Razai M, Ravshapoor F, Haidari Y, Bavandpoor K. Evaluation of student satisfaction towards Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences faculty training in clinical skills center in 2007. *Quarterly specialized medical education* 2008; 6: 40. (Persian).